

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/772,790 | 02/05/2004 | Younglok Kim | I-2-0276.1US | 5224 | |
| 24374 VOLPE AND I | 7590 07/18/200 KOENIG, P.C. | 7 | EXAMINER | | |
| DEPT. ICC | · | | CHOU, ALBERT T | | |
| UNITED PLAZ 30 SOUTH 177 | ZA, SUITE 1600 TH STREET | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| PHILADELPH | IA, PA 19103 | | 2616 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 07/18/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | <i>-</i> | | | |
|--|--|--|----------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summers | 10/772,790 | KIM ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| <u> </u> | Albert T. Chou | 2616 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply | pears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address | S | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A | CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commun BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 F | ebruary 2004. | | | | | |
| , | · — | | | | | |
| | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.[| D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application | ١. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | wn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected. | • | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 February 2004</u> is/ar | re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ | objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeya | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | , | • | * * | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | xaminer. Note the attache | d Office Action or form PTO-18 | 52. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr a) All b) Some * c) None of: | n priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documen | ts have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documen | ts have been received in A | Application No | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price | • | received in this National Stag | е | | | |
| application from the International Burea | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | t of the certified copies not | received. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | | Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) D Notice of | Informal Patent Application | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) [Other: | <u> </u> | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/772,790 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,790

Art Unit: 2616

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-11, 12-17 and 18-23 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11, 23-30 and 48-52, respectively, of U.S. Patent No. 6,690,660. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other.

For example, Claim 1 is rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,690,660. The only difference between the two claims is the following:

"using the data estimate to detect data received on a plurality of received communications channels".

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that the limitations, so as the motivation, set forth in claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,690,660 is to use the data estimate to detect data received on a plurality of received communications channels. Thus, claim 1 of the instant application and claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,690,660 are not patentably distinct from each other.

Art Unit: 2616

Similarly, claims 12 and 18 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 23 and 45, respectively, of U.S. Patent No. 6,690,660.

Claims 12 and 18 are not patentably distinct from claims 23 and 45, respectively, of U.S. Patent No. 6,690,660 since the only difference between these claims is the limitation of "using the data estimate to detect data received on a plurality of received communications channels" as recited in the rejection to claim 1.

Conclusion

- 2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - US Patent No. 6,438,180 to Kavcic et al. disclose "Soft And Hard Sequence
 Detection In ISI Memory Channel"
 - US Patent No. 7,092,452 to Taylor et al. disclose "Co-Channel Interference Receiver"
 - US Patent Application Pub. No. 2002/0037061 A1 by Learned discloses
 "System For Parameter Estimation And Tracking Of Interfering Digitally
 Modulated Signals"

Application/Control Number: 10/772,790

Art Unit: 2616

US Patent No. 7,003,380 to Mac Martin et al. disclose "System For

Computationally Efficient Adaptation Of Active Control Of Sound or Vibration"

Page 5

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Albert T. Chou whose telephone number is 571-272-

6045. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 17:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Chi H. Pham, can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Albert T. Chou

June 27, 2007

Jc

CHI PHAM EXAMINER

- NISORY PA